Organization Bldg/Rc United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days

Bldg/Room .....

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300



# AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

United States Patent at  APR 1.6 2009	ND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	TMENT OF COMMERCE Trademark Office OR PATENTS	
APPLICATION NO THANKS FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,724 10/19/2005	Kazuhito Fujii	125723 ·	2058	
25944 7590 04/15/2009 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850		EXAMINER		
		KRUER, KEVIN R		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1794		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		. 04/15/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>		
Office Action Summary		10/553,724	<b>i</b>	FUJII ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		KEVIN R. K	RUER	1794			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL INSIDE A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL INSIDE A STATE AND A STATE	LING DATE OF THI 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever cation. ory period will apply and will by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be time  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of the mailing date of this of the control			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on December 17, 20	)O8				
· · · ·		☐ This action is no					
3)	•	_	ance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims				,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6 and 8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,6, and 8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election re	quirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for $\boxtimes$ All b) $\square$ Some * c) $\square$ None of:	foreign priority unde	ər 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•		•			
Attachment(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	•		
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5)	алет Аррисалоп			

Art Unit: 1794

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP08-258888 (herein referred to as Miyamoto)

Miyamoto teaches a cover tape for surface mounting embossed semiconductors formed by laminating exterior intermediate layer and adhesive layer, and an exterior biaxially oriented polyester or polypropylene (abstract). The intermediate layer is comprised a metallocene catalyzed ethylene copolymer having a density of 0.900-0.925g/cc (herein understood to anticipate the claimed ranges of claims) and a melting point of less than 110°C (herein understood to be sufficiently specific to anticipate the claimed temperature ranges of claims )(005). The adhesion between the adhesive layer and the intermediate layer is 10-130g/mm (005)-herein understood to be sufficiently specific to anticipate the claimed range in claims 5-8)

With regards to the difference between the maximum value of peeling strength upon separation and the minimum value, said property is herein understood to be inherent to the cover tape of Miyamoto since said film comprises the same layers having the same composition as the claimed film.

Art Unit: 1794

With regards to the newly claimed softening temperature of the metallocene catalyzed LLDPE, the examiner takes the position that the m-LLDPE taught in Miyamoto which has a density in the overlapping claim range (0.90-0.907) will inherently meet the claimed softening point since said polymers are compositionally identical to the claimed polymers.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 17, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the claimed combination of features results in superior surface resistance, static decay time, total light transmissivity, haze, peeling strength, peeling strength stability, zip-up properties, and heat resistance. Said argument is noted but is not persuasive because the argument does not agree in scope with the pending claims; none of said properties (with the exception of peel strength) are currently claimed. Furthermore, said argument is insufficient to establish unexpected results since the claims are anticipated by the prior art.

With regards to the new limitation, applicant argues that due to the distinctions between the methods in which the melting points and softening points are measured, the disclosed range of melting points of the prior art is no equivalent to the claimed range of softening temperatures of the claimed metallocene linear low density polyethylene. Said argument is noted but is not persuasive because counsel's argument cannot take the place of evidence. There is no evidence of record that the m-LLDPE of Miyamoto fails to meet the claimed softening point limitations.

Art Unit: 1794

Applicant further argues that Miyamoto discloses a broad range of melting points of an ethylene copolymer without sufficiently describing ethylene copolymers having the disclosed range of melting points. Said argument has been fully considered but is not persuasive because Miyamoto teaches a specific polymer (m-LLDPE) with a narrow density range, distribution, and melting point range. Thus, the teachings of Miyamoto are understood to be sufficiently specific to anticipate all the disclosed m-LLDPE. With regards to applicant's arguments regarding the examples taught in Miyamoto, Applicant is reminded that a reference may be relied upon for all that it fairly teaches and is not limited to preferred or exemplary embodiments.

Applicant further argues that the density disclosure of (0006) does not refer to m-LLDPE but rather to the "polyurethane system resin." Said disclosure has been reviewed and the examiner maintains the position that said disclosure refers to the m-LLDPE. Specifically, Miyamoto teaches at (0006) that "It is the ethylene-alpha olefin copolymer which polymerized with a metallocene catalyst whose ratio of a molecular weight as which the melting point is 110 or less in 0.900-0.925g[/cm ] 3, and density of resin is specified by a ratio of weight-average-molecular-weight (Mw) / number average molecular weight (Mn) is three or less..."

For the reasons noted above, the rejection is maintained.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1794

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN R. KRUER whose telephone number is (571)272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Callie Shosho can be reached on 571-272-1123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

/Kevin R Kruer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794